

Quantum Monte Carlo calculations with chiral Effective Field Theory Interactions

Alexandros Gezerlis




East Lansing, MI
3rd International Symposium on Nuclear Symmetry Energy
July 25, 2013

Motivation for neutron matter

- Microscopic constraints for Skyrme functionals
- Directly related to neutron-star EOS

- Large spread in predictions
- Dependable calculations are useful

NEUTRONS



B. A. Brown, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5296 (2000).

Nuclear many-body problem

Need to solve:

$$\mathcal{H}\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_A; s_1, s_2, \dots, s_A; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_A) = E\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_A; s_1, s_2, \dots, s_A; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_A)$$

where

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{j=1,N} \nabla_j^2 + \sum_{j < k} v_{jk} + \sum_{j < k < l} V_{jkl}$$

s_i spin of i -th nucleon ($\pm \frac{1}{2}$)

t_i isospin of i -th nucleon ($\pm \frac{1}{2}$)

Quantum Monte Carlo: $\Psi(\tau \rightarrow \infty) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} e^{-(\mathcal{H}-E_T)\tau} \Psi_V$
 $\rightarrow \alpha_0 e^{-(E_0-E_T)\tau} \Psi_0$

Continuum Quantum Monte Carlo

Rudiments of Diffusion Monte Carlo:

Start somewhere and evolve

$$\psi(\mathbf{R}, \tau) = \int G(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}', \tau) \psi(\mathbf{R}', 0) d\mathbf{R}'$$

With a standard propagator

$$G(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}', \tau) = \langle \mathbf{R} | e^{-(H - E_0)\tau} | \mathbf{R}' \rangle$$

Cut up into many time slices

$$G(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}', \Delta\tau) \approx e^{-\frac{V(\mathbf{R})+V(\mathbf{R}')}{2}\Delta\tau} \left(\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2\tau} \right)^{\frac{3A}{2}} e^{-\frac{m|\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}'|^2}{2\hbar^2\tau}}$$

You probably also want to do importance sampling

$$\tilde{G}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}', \Delta\tau) = \frac{\psi_I(\mathbf{R}')}{\psi_I(\mathbf{R})} G(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}', \Delta\tau)$$

Nuclear Hamiltonian

Easier said than done. Complicated Hamiltonian:

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{j=1,N} \nabla_j^2 + \sum_{j < k} v_{jk} + \sum_{j < k < l} V_{jkl}$$

Phenomenological approach:

High-precision fits to NN scattering (Argonne)

$$V_2 = \sum_{j < k} v_{jk} = \sum_{j < k} \sum_{p=1}^8 v_p(r_{jk}) O^{(p)}(j, k)$$

$$O^{p=1,8}(j, k) = (1, \sigma_j \cdot \sigma_k, S_{jk}, \mathbf{L}_{jk} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{jk}) \otimes (1, \tau_j \cdot \tau_k)$$


With tensor: $S_{jk} = 3(\hat{r}_{jk} \cdot \sigma_j)(\hat{r}_{jk} \cdot \sigma_k) - \sigma_j \cdot \sigma_k$

And spin, orbit: $\mathbf{S}_{jk} = \frac{\hbar}{2}(\sigma_j + \sigma_k)$

$$\mathbf{L}_{jk} = \frac{\hbar}{2i}(\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_k) \times (\nabla_j - \nabla_k)$$

Phenomenological Hamiltonian

Very successful program (Carlson, Pieper, Wiringa, ...)



Quantum Monte Carlo

Enter Schmidt-Fantoni 1999: Auxiliary Field Diffusion Monte Carlo

GFMC needs $2^A \frac{A!}{Z!(A-Z)!}$ numbers, AFDMC would like only $4A$

(also see: Sarsa, Fantoni, Schmidt, Pederiva, PRC 2003)

Quantum Monte Carlo

Enter Schmidt-Fantoni 1999: Auxiliary Field Diffusion Monte Carlo

Take $V_2 = \sum_{j < k} v_{jk} = V_{\text{SI}} + V_{\text{SD}}$ and split

Spin-independent: $V_{\text{SI}} = \sum_{j < k} [v_1(r_{jk}) + v_2(r_{jk})]$

Spin-dependent: $V_{\text{SD}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,\alpha,k,\beta} \sigma_{j,\alpha} A_{j,\alpha;k,\beta} \sigma_{k,\beta}$

For neutrons: 3N by 3N A matrix knows about spin-spin and tensor

Now diagonalize. Use eigendecomposition to create squares:

$$V_2 = V_{\text{SI}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{3N} (O_n)^2 \lambda_n$$

Quantum Monte Carlo

Auxiliary Field Diffusion Monte Carlo (continued)

Handle squares through a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation:

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}\lambda O^2 \Delta\tau} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} e^{x\sqrt{-\lambda\Delta\tau}O}$$

This leads to the following short-time Green's function:

$$G(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}', \Delta\tau) = \left(\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2\Delta\tau} \right)^{3A/2} \exp \left(-\frac{m|R - R'|^2}{2\hbar^2\Delta\tau} \right) e^{-V_{\text{SI}}(R)\Delta\tau}$$
$$\prod_{n=1}^{3N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_n e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{2}} e^{x_n\sqrt{-\lambda_n\Delta\tau}O_n}$$

Use importance function (phase of walkers):

$$\psi_I(\mathbf{R}, S) = \prod_{i < j} f(r_{ij}) \mathcal{A} \left[\prod_{i=1}^N \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}_i, s_i) \right] \quad |s_i\rangle = a_i |\uparrow\rangle + b_i |\downarrow\rangle$$

Nuclear Hamiltonian: chiral EFT

How to go beyond in a systematic manner?

Exploit separation of scales:

$$a_{^1S_0} = (11 \text{ MeV})^{-1}$$

$$m_\pi = 140 \text{ MeV}$$

$$\Lambda_\chi \approx m_\rho \approx 800 \text{ MeV}$$


Chiral Effective Field Theory approach:

Use nucleons and pions as degrees of freedom

Systematically expand in $\frac{Q}{\Lambda_\chi}$

Weinberg, van Kolck, Kaplan, Savage, Wise, Epelbaum, Kaiser, Meissner


Nuclear Hamiltonian: chiral EFT



- Attempts to connect with underlying theory (QCD)
- Systematic low-momentum expansion
- Consistent many-body forces
- Low-energy constants from experiment or lattice QCD
- Until now non-local in coordinate space (due to regulator and contacts), so unused in continuum QMC (see also: Lynn, Schmidt, PRC 2012)

- Power counting's relation to renormalization still an open question

Nuclear Hamiltonian: chiral EFT



Regulator and dictionary:

$$f(p, p') = e^{-(p/\Lambda)^{2n}} e^{-(p'/\Lambda)^{2n}}$$

$$\mathbf{p} = (\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2)/2$$

$$\mathbf{p}' = (\mathbf{p}'_1 - \mathbf{p}'_2)/2$$

$$\mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{p})/2$$

$$\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p}$$

How to go beyond?

Combine power of Quantum Monte Carlo with consistency of chiral Effective Field Theory

Write down a local energy-independent NN potential

- Use local pion-exchange regulator
- Pick 7 different contacts at NLO, just make sure that when antisymmetrized they lead to a set obeying the required symmetry principles

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{ct}}^{(2)} = & C_1 q^2 + C_2 q^2 \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \\ & + (C_3 q^2 + C_4 q^2 \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_2) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \\ & + i \frac{C_5}{2} (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2) \cdot \mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{k} \\ & + C_6 (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q})(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \cdot \mathbf{q}) \\ & + C_7 (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q})(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \cdot \mathbf{q}) \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$f_{\text{long}}(r) = 1 - e^{-(r/R_0)^4}$$


cf.

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{ct}}^{(2)} = & C_1 q^2 + C_2 k^2 \\ & + (C_3 q^2 + C_4 k^2) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \\ & + i \frac{C_5}{2} (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2) \cdot (\mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{k}) \\ & + C_6 (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q})(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \cdot \mathbf{q}) \\ & + C_7 (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k})(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}) \end{aligned}$$

How to go beyond?



Combine power of Quantum Monte Carlo with consistency of chiral Effective Field Theory

- Write down a local energy-independent NN potential
- Before doing many-body calculations, fit to NN phase shifts (*primum non nocere*)




How to go beyond?

Fits currently being redone




Chiral EFT in QMC



- Use Auxiliary-Field Diffusion Monte Carlo to handle the full interaction
- First ever non-perturbative systematic error bands
- Band sizes to be expected
- Many-body forces will emerge systematically

NEUTRONS


Chiral EFT in lattice QMC



- Complementary Quantum Monte Carlo approach that has already been using chiral EFT forces
- Preliminary results

NEUTRONS

QMC vs MBPT



- Comparison with many-body perturbation approach
- MBPT bands come from diff. single-particle spectra
- Soft potential in excellent agreement with AFDMC
- Hard potential slower to converge

NEUTRONS

Conclusions

- Chiral EFT can now be used in continuum Quantum Monte Carlo methods
- We can directly test the perturbativeness of different orders
- First non-perturbative systematic error bands for neutron matter: can benchmark other approaches
- **Future needs:** three-neutron force