A Simple Pairing Approach on the Linear Symmetry Energy Near N=Z

Ian Bentley and Stefan Frauendorf



3rd International Symposium on Nuclear Symmetry Energy NSCL/FRIB, East Lansing, Michigan

Motivation

- We are interested in determining the origin of the linear symmetry energy term.
- The strong interaction creates a contribution to the binding energy which is of the form:

 $E_{s} = c|T_{Z}|(|T_{Z}|+X) + E_{shell}$, where $T_{Z} = \frac{N-Z}{2}$.

- Approximate techniques (RPA, BCS, etc.) have critical behavior ($\Delta(G) = 0$) in which the pairing correlations are not well defined.
- We have chosen to solve this problem exactly by diagonalization of the pairing Hamiltonian.
- Only a few levels (6 or 7) nearest the Fermi surface are included.



$E_s = \mathbf{c} |T_Z| (|T_Z| + X) + E_{shell}$

Region of Interest

- Experimental evidence of a linear term symmetry energy term exists for $28 \le A \le 100$.
- More N=Z measurements are needed.
- There are 3 doubly magic nuclei which exhibit unique behavior.





 $E_s = \mathbf{c} |T_Z| (|T_Z| + X) + E_{shell}$

The Determination of X



- $$\begin{split} E_{S}(Z+1,A) &- E_{S}(Z-1,A) \\ &= B_{E}(Z+1,A) B_{E}(Z-1,A) \\ &- 2\left(1.412(\pm 0.014)ZA^{-\frac{1}{3}} \\ &- 0.610(\pm 0.048)ZA^{-1} \\ &- 0.719(\pm 0.007)Z^{\frac{1}{3}}A^{-\frac{1}{3}}\right) [MeV] \end{split}$$
- Estimate the Coulomb energy using mirror nuclei.
- Remove Coulomb contribution.
- Use differences in the symmetry energy to find X.

$$\frac{\partial E_S}{\partial T_Z} \mid_{T_Z = \overline{T}_Z} = \frac{\Delta E_S}{\Delta T_Z} \mid_{T_Z = \overline{T}_Z} = 2c(|\overline{T}_Z| + X/2) + E'_{shell}$$



The Evolving X

- This investigation was inspired by the work of Jänecke et al. who observed a shift in the linear term from $X \approx 1$ to $X \approx 4$.
- Using the 2003 Atomic Mass Evaluation (AME), we have observed roughly the same transition.
 - Different Coulomb fits are used and some new measurements are included.
 - At A = [94,96], $X \approx 1$ is new.
- The AME 2012, contains many important changes:
 - new masses at ${}^{86}Mo$ and ${}^{90}Ru$,
 - cause new extrapolations in the $80 \le A \le 90$ region for ${}^{82}Zr$, ${}^{84}Mo$, ${}^{88}Ru$, and ${}^{92}Pd$.



The Hamiltonian

• The Hamiltonian used in this evaluation is of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{H} &= \sum \epsilon_k \, \widehat{N}_k - G_V \sum \left(\widehat{P}^{\dagger}_{k(pp)} \widehat{P}_{k'(pp)} + \widehat{P}^{\dagger}_{k(pn)} \widehat{P}_{k'(pn)} + \widehat{P}^{\dagger}_{k(nn)} \widehat{P}_{k'(nn)} \right) \\ &- G_S \sum \left(\widehat{S}^{\dagger}_{k(pn)} \widehat{S}_{k'(pn)} \right) + C \overrightarrow{T} \cdot \overrightarrow{T} \end{aligned}$$

- The first term is the sum over occupied levels.
- The second term is the monopole isovector interaction.
- The monopole isoscalar interaction term contains only anti-aligned spin pairs.
- The spin aligned pairs carry angular momentum and should be largely decoupled from the ground state.
- The final term accounts for the isospin dependence of the single particle levels.
- Neergård has determined that this gives a contribution of the form CT(T + 1), resulting from a collective rotation in isospace.





The No Pairing Limit ($G_V = G_S = 0$) with C=0 $T_Z = 0$ $T_Z = 2$ $T_Z = 0$

- In the no pairing limit, the level distribution alone determines X.
- This is because as T_Z increases a pair of protons is removed and a pair of neutrons is added.
- The values of X ranges from large positive values to small negative values.
- If the levels are completely degenerate, then E_S is a constant, and X is ill-defined because the slope is zero.



Schematic Calculations



- This explains the observed up-down feature seen near doubly magic nuclei (⁴⁰Ca,⁵⁶Ni and ¹⁰⁰Sn).
- More realistic levels might not be static.
- We will need to know the equilibrium deformations, and then generate the corresponding levels.



Deformations and Levels

- A mixed micro-macro method can be used to determine the equilibrium deformations.
 - This involves a deformed liquid droplet.
 - Strutinski renormalization is used to combine the microscopic contributions with the macroscopic ones.
 - BCS pairing is used and best fits Δ_n and Δ_p are used given by Möller and Nix.
- The deformation parameters $(\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_4, \gamma)$ determine the potential energy surface.
- The equilibrium deformation corresponds to the minimum.
- The resulting deformations are comparable to the state of the art (e.g. FRDM, HFB-21).
- The levels used in the pairing calculations correspond to the average of the proton and neutron Nilsson levels nearest the N = Z Fermi surface.





Determining $G_V(A)$ and C(A) with $G_S=0$

Even-even, odd-odd T=0 pairing gap at N=Z: $2\Delta(N,Z) = \frac{1}{2}[B_E(N-1,Z-1) - 2B_E(N,Z) + B_E(N+1,Z+1)]$



$$G_V(A) = 13.9 A^{-3/4} [MeV]$$

Low lying isospin of odd-odd N=Z nuclei.



 $C(A) = 58.9 A^{-1} [MeV]$





Resulting X with $G_S = 0$

- The values of the Wigner X are in good agreement with what is observed experimentally.
- All of the up-down features coming from changes in level density are reproduced, but some not always to the exact value.
- Discrepancies are likely the result from the using model deformations.





Resulting Slope with $G_S = 0$



- The isomoment of inertia, does not come out well.
- This is a result of performing the calculations with so few levels.
- The pairing correlations at high T_Z have been artificially diminished.

T_Z	# _{Even}	# _{Odd}
0,1	3647	3647
2,3	1001	1890
4,5	70	210

Including Isoscalar Interactions

- The isoscalar interaction can also be varied.
- These are the results for six evenly spaced levels and with C = 1 MeV.
- Fitting the pairing gap and the low lying isospin severely limits the phase space.
- The ground state isospin further limits the range.
- The results in the allowed region are very similar.





Fixed Ratio Calculations



- With the isoscalar interactions included, only six level calculations can be used.
- Fixed ratios of $\frac{G_S}{G_V}$ will allow for the same two variables, $G_V(A)$ and C(A), to be fit.
 - Again, 2Δ is used to fit $G_V(A)$,
 - And the low lying isospin with the fit $G_V(A)$ is used to find C(A).
- Note that only even T_Z chains are included for X and $\frac{1}{\theta}$.
- The resulting X is the same!



X from the RPA



- > The Random Phase Approximation contains critical phemenona.
- > The resulting X suffers as a result.
- Neergård, has created a technique that interpolates over the critical region.
 - This calculation is in good agreement with the exact calculation.
 - And it is capable of involving many levels.
- Calculations involving this technique and 50 level calculations are underway.





Measurement Wish List

- In order to verify the A = 80 results are fluctuations around X = 1, not X = 4, measurements of N ≈ Z nuclei would be helpful.
- Specifically, high precision measurements of ⁸⁰Zr, ⁸²Zr, ⁸⁴Mo, ⁸⁸Ru, and ⁹²Pd are needed.
- The trend above A = 100, is not known so low $T_Z \approx 0$ nuclei would be interesting.
- As would high $T_Z \approx 5$, below A = 24.
- It would also be interesting to do a study of the mirror nuclei up to $T_Z \approx -4$, currently ${}^{19}Mg$ has the lowest value in the AME 2012 measurements at $T_Z \approx -2.5$.



Conclusions

- The observed fluctuations in the linear symmetry energy term are related to the level distribution near the Fermi surface.
- The Wigner X can be calculated using Nilsson levels and a simple pairing Hamiltonian.
- Boundary issues are particularly important for the isomoment of inertia.
- The four observables of interest are relatively insensitive to the pairing correlations caused by the simple spin zero isoscalar term.
- $G_S \leq G_V$ is constrained by fitting the pairing gap.





Thank you!

Binding Energy Formula

- Even-even and odd-odd mass parabola separation can be measured for neighboring nuclei.
- Mirror nuclei have constant A, |T_z|, and are both eveneven or odd-odd.
- An isobaric chain of even-even nuclei provides insight to the structure of the symmetry term, with the Coulomb energy removed.
- Shell effects are not removed in any of these...

$$B_E = a_v A - a_s A^{2/3} - a_{sym}(A) |T_z|(|T_z| + X) \pm a_p A^{-1/2} + E_{shell}$$
$$-\frac{3}{5} \frac{q^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 R_e} Z^2 A^{-1/3} \left(1 - \frac{5}{6} \left(\frac{d\pi}{R_e}\right)^2 A^{-2/3} - 5 \left(\frac{3}{16\pi}\right)^{2/3} Z^{-2/3}\right)$$

Coulomb Fit

$$E_{CMS} = \frac{3}{5} \frac{q^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 R_0} Z^2 A^{-1/3} \left(1 - \frac{5}{6} \left(\frac{d\pi}{R_0}\right)^2 A^{-2/3} - 5 \left(\frac{3}{16\pi}\right)^{2/3} Z^{-2/3} \right)$$

$$\Delta E_{CMS} = \frac{\Delta E}{\Delta Z} \approx \frac{\partial E}{\partial Z} \bigg|_{Z=\bar{Z}} = \frac{3}{5} \frac{q^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 R_0} 2\bar{Z}A^{-1/3} \left(1 - \frac{5}{6} \left(\frac{d\pi}{R_0}\right)^2 A^{-2/3} - \frac{10}{3} \left(\frac{3}{16\pi}\right)^{2/3} \bar{Z}^{-2/3}\right)$$



Levels Used

- The key to isospin conservation is to always use the same levels.
- Effort was made to not move the window.

Slope and X (Odd T_z chain)



- T_z = [1,3,5] for a seven level calculation.
- These are organized so that at there will be at least one pair and one hole one each level.
- Each of these also include addition of CT(T + 1) term.

Slope and X (Even T_z chain)



- $T_z = [0,2,4]$ for a seven level calculation.
- It was arbitrarily chosen to use 3 occupied and 4 unoccupied levels at N = Z.
- The other choice gives comparable results depending on the spacing of those top and bottommost levels.
- Each of these also include addition of CT(T + 1) term.

Isospin Inversion



- The isospin inversion involves a blocked level.
- Blocking will remove a level and a 6 level calculation is performed.
- The blocked pair is added back in.
- The unblocked calculation involves the addition of the CT(T + 1) term.

Delta N=Z



- The N=Z configurations for a seven level calculation.
- These are centered about the OO nucleus.
- Again, blocking is used.
- Only the T=0 states are compared, so this does not depend on C.



Isovector pair field



rotation in ordinary space rotational energy:

rotation in abstract isospace isorotational energy:

20

$$E(I) = \langle H \rangle + \frac{I(I+1)}{2q} \qquad \qquad E(T) = \langle H \rangle + \frac{T(T+1)}{2\theta}$$

Limit of strong symmetry breaking: Wigner X=1 ("large deformation" in isovector space) The experimental X often close to 1, but not as close as for ordinary rotation. Weak deformation.