Direct Approaches from QCD

Makoto Oka

Part III of Lecture

1

- Why are the quark models not sufficient?
 - Hadrons are not few-body systems in a simple Hamiltonian.
 - QCD vacuum does not allow perturbation series to describe physical quantities.
 - Analyses of variety hadron properties require field theoretical methods to describe quark-gluon composite systems.

- Quark and gluon fields are placed on the lattice.
 - Infinitely many degrees of freedom of QCD are reduced into a finite number of variables on the discretized 4-D lattice.
 - Path integrals of QCD are numerically evaluated on the 4-D Euclidean lattice.
 - The local gauge invariance is maintained by introducing link variables corresponding to the gluon fields.

Two Point Correlators

• TPC $\Pi(x)$ contains information of the spectrum

 $\Pi(x) = \langle 0 | T(J(x)\overline{J}(0)) | 0 \rangle$

- *J*(*x*): interpolating field operator determines quantum numbers
- Fourier transform





$$\Pi(p) \equiv i \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} \langle 0|T(J(x)\overline{J}(0))|0\rangle$$

Two Point Correlators

 interpolating field operator Mesons

I =1 vector meson

 $J_{\rho}(x) = \bar{q}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\frac{\vec{\tau}}{2}q(x)$

I =1 pseudoscalar meson $J_{\pi}(x) = \bar{q}(x)\gamma^5 \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2}q(x)$

Baryons

J = 1/2 baryon $B(x) = \epsilon_{abc}(u_a^T(x)C\gamma^5 d_b(x))u_c(x)$

Baryon operators

di-quark operators

$$\begin{split} q(x) &\xrightarrow{C} q^{C}(x) = C\bar{q}^{T}(x), \qquad C = i\gamma^{0}\gamma^{2} = -C^{-1} = -C^{T} = -C^{\dagger} \\ q^{C}(t, \vec{x}) = C\bar{q}^{T}(t, \vec{x}) \xrightarrow{P} -\gamma^{0}q^{C}(t, -\vec{x}) \end{split}$$

 $egin{aligned} C &= ar{3}, \, I = 0, \, S = 0, \, ext{scalar } 0^+ \colon & \epsilon_{abc}(u_b^T(x)C\gamma^5 d_c(x)) \ C &= 6, \, I = 1, \, S = 0, \, ext{scalar } 0^+ \colon & (u_b^T(x)C\gamma^5 d_c(x)) + (d \leftrightarrow u) \ C &= ar{3}, \, I = 0, \, S = 0, \, ext{pseudoscalar } 0^- \colon & \epsilon_{abc}(u_b^T(x)Cd_c(x)) \ C &= 6, \, I = 1, \, S = 0, \, ext{pseudoscalar } 0^- \colon & (u_b^T(x)Cd_c(x)) + (d \leftrightarrow u) \end{aligned}$

 $J_N^{\alpha}(x) = \varepsilon_{abc}[(u_a(x)Cd_b(x))(\gamma_5 u_c(x))^{\alpha} + t(u_a(x)C\gamma_5 d_b(x))u_c^{\alpha}(x)],$

Path Integral in Field Theory

$$egin{aligned} &\langle \phi_f, t_f | \phi_i.t_i
angle = \int [D\phi] \exp \left\{ rac{i}{\hbar} S[\phi]
ight\} = \sum_n \langle \phi_f | n
angle e^{-iE_n(t_f - t_i)/\hbar} \langle n | \phi_i
angle \ &S[\phi] \equiv \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \, \int d^3x \, \mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial_\mu \phi) \end{aligned}$$

Path Integral Form of Partition Function

$$egin{aligned} Z &= ext{Tr} e^{-ieta \hat{H}} = \int [Dq] \exp\left(-rac{1}{\hbar}\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \, H(q)
ight) \ t_f - t_i &= eta \hbar \end{aligned}$$

Minkowsky to Euclid

$$\begin{split} \bar{t} &= it, \quad \dot{\bar{q}} = -i\dot{q}, \quad \bar{q} = q \\ \frac{i}{\hbar}S[q(t)] &= -\frac{1}{\hbar}\bar{S}[\bar{q}(\bar{t})] \quad \bar{S}[\bar{q}(\bar{t})] = \int d\bar{t}\,\bar{L}(\bar{q},\dot{\bar{q}}) \\ L(q,\dot{q}) &= \frac{m}{2}\dot{q}^2 - V(q) \longrightarrow \bar{L}(\bar{q},\dot{\bar{q}}) = \frac{m}{2}\dot{\bar{q}}^2 + V(q) \\ \mathcal{L}(\phi,\partial_{\mu}\phi) &= \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial^{\mu}\phi - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 \longrightarrow \bar{\mathcal{L}}(\bar{\phi},\partial_{\mu}\bar{\phi}) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\bar{\phi}\partial_{\mu}\bar{\phi} + \frac{1}{2}m^2\bar{\phi}^2 \\ \partial_{\mu}\bar{\phi}\partial_{\mu}\bar{\phi} \equiv (\partial_{\bar{t}}\bar{\phi})^2 + (\vec{\nabla}\bar{\phi})^2 \end{split}$$

Euclidean Path Integral in Field Theory (bar and \hbar omitted)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_f, t_f &= t_i + T | \phi_i . t_i \rangle = \int [D\phi] \exp(-S[\phi]) = \sum_n \langle \phi_f | n \rangle e^{-E_n T} \langle n | \phi_i \rangle \\ \xrightarrow{T \to \infty} & \langle \phi_f | 0 \rangle e^{-E_0 T} \langle 0 | \phi_i \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Green's function

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_f, t_f &= t_i + T | T[\phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\dots\phi(x_n)] | \phi_i \cdot t_i \rangle \\ \xrightarrow{T \to \infty} & \langle \phi_f | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | T[\phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\dots\phi(x_n)] | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \phi_i \rangle e^{-E_0 T} \\ &= \int [D\phi] \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\dots\phi(x_n) e^{-S[\phi]} \\ \langle 0 | T[\phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\dots\phi(x_n)] | 0 \rangle &= \frac{\int [D\phi] \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\dots\phi(x_n) e^{-S[\phi]}}{\int [D\phi] e^{-S[\phi]}} \end{aligned}$$

Hadron Masses

$$\begin{split} M(x) &\equiv \bar{q}(x) \Gamma q(x) \\ \langle 0|T[M(x)M^{\dagger}(0)]|0 \rangle = \frac{\int [Dq][D\bar{q}][DU]M(x)M^{\dagger}(0) e^{-S[q,\bar{q},U]}}{\int [Dq][D\bar{q}][DU] e^{-S[q,\bar{q},U]}} \\ &\sim \text{constant} \times e^{-(E_M - E_0)T} \quad \text{for } x_0 = T \gg 0 \end{split}$$

Hadron Matrix Elements

 $O(y) \equiv \bar{q}(y)\Gamma_O q(y)$

 $\frac{\int [Dq] [D\bar{q}] [DU] M(x) O(y) M^{\dagger}(0) e^{-S[q,\bar{q},U]}}{\int [Dq] [D\bar{q}] [DU] M(x) M^{\dagger}(0) e^{-S[q,\bar{q},U]}} \sim \text{constant} \times \langle M | O | M \rangle$

for $x_0 \gg y_0 \gg 0$

Discretization

$$egin{aligned} \phi(x) &\longrightarrow \phi(n) & n \equiv (n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4) \ \partial_\mu \phi(x) &= rac{\phi(n+\hat{\mu}) - \phi(n)}{a}, & a ext{: lattice spacing; } \hat{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, 0) ext{ etc} \ \int d^4x &= \sum_n a^4 imes \ ext{scalar field action} \ S_E[\phi] &= a^4 \sum_{n,\mu} rac{(\phi(n+\hat{\mu}) - \phi(n))^2}{2a^2} + \sum_n rac{m^2 \phi(n)^2}{2} \ Z_E &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_n d\phi(n) e^{-S_E[\phi]} \end{aligned}$$

Gauge field as link variables

$$\begin{split} A(x) &\longrightarrow U(x, y) \equiv \operatorname{Pexp}\left(ig \int_{x}^{y} dx_{\mu} A^{\mu}\right) \\ \text{parallel transport} \\ q^{P}(x + dx) &= q(x) - ig A_{\mu} q(x) dx^{\mu} \sim e^{-ig A_{\mu} dx^{\mu}} q(x) \\ &\rightarrow q^{P}(y) = U(y, x) q(x) \end{split}$$

gauge transform $q(x) \rightarrow g(x)q(x); \quad q(y) \rightarrow g(y)q(y)$ $U(y,x) \rightarrow g(y)U(y,x)g(x)^{-1}$

$$egin{aligned} U_p &\equiv U(x,x+dy)\,U(x+dy,x+dy+dx)\,U(x+dx+dy,x+dx)\,U(x+dx,x)\ &\sim 1-a^4g^2G_{\mu
u}G_{\mu
u}\ &S_G &= \sum_{\mathrm{p}}eta\left(1-rac{1}{N_c}\mathrm{Re}\mathrm{Tr}(U_p)
ight) \end{aligned}$$

• Imaginary time two-point correlator

13

Lattice QCD spectrum of light hadrons



FIG. 24 (color online). Light hadron spectrum extrapolated to the physical point using m_{π} , m_{K} and m_{Ω} as input. Horizontal bars denote the experimental values.

Baryon-baryon interaction

Quark Cluster Model (M.O., K.Yazaki, 1980)
 Short-range repulsion due to the quark exchange mechanism based on the NR quark model with gluon exchange interaction.

15

Takeuchi et al. (2000) M. Oka, NFQCD2010

Baryon-baryon interaction

Strong repulsion in the Pauli forbidden states

M. Oka, NFQCD2010 <u>16</u>

Nuclear Force from Lattice QCD

N. Ishii,^{1,2} S. Aoki,^{3,4} and T. Hatsuda²

Direct Approaches from QCD

Hyperon-Nucleon Force from Lattice QCD Hidekatsu Nemura^{a,*,1}, Noriyoshi Ishii^b, Sinya Aoki^{c,d}, and Tetsuo Hatsuda arXiv:0806.1094 [nucl-th]

 $p - \Xi^0$ potential

Baryon-baryon interaction

- **#** Recent development of the BB potential calculation in LQCD
 - In lattice QCD, BB potential can be defined and extracted through 4-point function.

$$\begin{split} W(t-t_{0},\vec{r}) &= \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle 0|B_{i}(t,\vec{x}+\vec{r})B_{j}(t,\vec{x}) \ \bar{B}_{k}(t_{0})\bar{B}_{l}(t_{0})|0\rangle \\ \text{at } t-t_{0} &> t_{\text{sat}} \\ \text{B.S. amp. } \phi_{E_{0}}(\vec{r}) \quad V(\vec{r}) \ &= \ \frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{\nabla^{2}\phi_{E_{0}}(\vec{r})}{\phi_{E_{0}}(\vec{r})} \ + \ T_{0} \end{split}$$

- Developed by Ishii, Aoki and Hatsuda for NN(2006) Phys. Rev. Lett. 99. 022001 (2007) [arXive:nucl-th/0611096] arXiv:0909.5585[hep-lat]
- Applied to YN by Nemura, Ishii, Aoki and Hatsuda.

M. Oka, NFQCD2010

HAL QCD Collaboration by T. Inoue

Baryon-baryon interaction

\blacksquare BS amplitude \rightarrow potential

BS amplitude(wave fucntion)

M. Oka, NFQCD2010

20

From these amp. potentials are extracted.

HAL QCD Collaboration *by T. Inoue*

Lattice QCD : SU(3) limit

\blacksquare NN potential (I=1) V⁽²⁷⁾ and (I=0) V^(10*)

NN singlet even ¹S₀

NN triplet even ³S₁ Deuteron is not 6-quark

M. Oka, NFQCD2010

21

Lattice QCD : SU(3) limit

 \blacksquare V^(8s) and V⁽¹⁾

SU(6) pure [51]: Pauli-repulsion NΣ (I=1/2, J=0) almost forbidden SU(6) pure [33] SR attraction

M. Oka, NFQCD2010 22

Lattice QCD : SU(3) limit

 $\blacksquare V^{(10)} \text{ and } V^{(8a)}$

NΣ(I=3/2, J=1) almost Pauli-forbidden

M. Oka, NFQCD2010 23

Correlation function of composite operators

 $\Pi(p) \equiv i \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} \langle 0|T(J(x)J(0))|0\rangle$

J(x): Interpolating field (= composite operator)

(1) OPE (Operator Product Expansion) side

$$\begin{split} \Pi(p_E^2) &= \sum_n C_n(p_E^2) \langle 0 | O_n(0) | 0 \rangle \qquad O_n : \text{Local operator} \\ \text{Deep Euclid region} \qquad p_E^2 \equiv -p^2 \to \infty \\ \alpha_s(p_F^2) &\to 1 / \ln(p_F^2) \Rightarrow \text{perturbative} \end{split}$$

Nonperturbative effects are taken into account as vacuum condensates OPE expansion w.r.t. $1/p_{\rm F}^2$

Operator Product Expansion for ϕ^4 scalar field theory

$$T[\phi(x)\phi(y)] \xrightarrow{x \to 0} \underbrace{\langle 0|T[\phi(x)\phi(0)]|0\rangle}_{\text{singular part (c-number)}} + \underbrace{; \phi(x)\phi(0):}_{\text{non-singular (normal product)}}$$

Free scalar field

$$\begin{split} &-i\Delta(x) = \langle 0|T[\phi(x)\phi(0)]|0\rangle \\ &= \int \frac{e^{-ip\cdot x}}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \sim 2\pi i \int \frac{1}{2E_p} e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x} - iE_pt} \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \\ &\xrightarrow{m \to 0} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 2r} \int_0^\infty (e^{ipr} - e^{-ipr}) e^{-ipt} dp \sim \frac{i}{(2\pi)^2 x^2} \end{split}$$

OPE in ϕ^4 theory

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^4 \\ &-i\Delta(p) = \int \langle 0|T[\phi(x)\phi(0)]|0\rangle e^{ip \cdot x} d^4x \sim C_1(p^2) + C_{\phi^2}(p^2)\langle 0| : \phi^2(0) : |0\rangle + \dots \\ C_1(p^2) &= \frac{1}{p^2 - m^2} + \frac{\lambda}{2(4\pi)^2} \frac{\Lambda^2}{(p^2 - m^2)^2} + \dots \sim \frac{1}{p^2} + \frac{m^2}{p^4} (1 + \frac{\lambda}{32\pi^2} \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} + \dots) \\ C_{\phi^2}(p^2) &= \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{1}{(p^2 - m^2)^2} + \dots \sim \frac{\lambda}{2p^4} + \dots \\ \int T[\phi(x)\phi(0)] e^{ip \cdot x} d^4x \sim C_1(p^2) + C_{\phi^2}(p^2) : \phi^2(0) : + \dots \end{split}$$

quark propagator

 $iS(x,y) = iS_0(x-y) + g \int d^4z iS_0(x-z)(i\gamma \cdot A(z)) iS_0(x-y) + O(g^2)$

fixed point gauge
$$x \cdot A(x) = 0$$

 $x^{\nu}G_{\nu\mu} = x^{\nu}\partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} + A_{\mu} - \partial_{\nu}(x^{\nu}A_{\mu})$
 $x \to \alpha x$ and $\int_{0}^{1} d\alpha$
 $A_{\mu}(x) = x^{\nu}\int_{0}^{1} d\alpha \alpha G_{\nu\mu}(\alpha x) = \frac{1}{2}x_{\nu}G_{\nu\mu}(0) + \dots$

$$iS(x) = rac{i}{2\pi^2} rac{1}{(x^2)^2} (\gamma \cdot x) - rac{1}{12} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle - rac{1}{192} x^2 \langle \bar{q}g\sigma \cdot Gq
angle + \dots$$

Interpolating field operators

Mesons

 $J_{\rho}(x) = \bar{q}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\frac{\vec{\tau}}{2}q(x)$

$$J_{\pi}(x) = \bar{q}(x)\gamma^5 \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2}q(x)$$

I=1 vector meson

I=1 pseudoscalar meson

Baryons (nucleon)

 $J_N^{\alpha}(x) = \varepsilon_{abc}[(u_a(x)Cd_b(x))(\gamma_5 u_c(x))^{\alpha} + t(u_a(x)C\gamma_5 d_b(x))u_c^{\alpha}(x)],$

OPE of nucleon correlator (at p = 0)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Im}A^{\mathrm{OPE}}(p_{0}) &= \frac{5+2t+5t^{2}}{2^{11}\pi^{4}}p_{0}^{5}\theta(p_{0}) + \frac{5+2t+5t^{2}}{2^{9}\pi^{2}}p_{0}\theta(p_{0})\langle\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi}GG\rangle + \\ &\frac{7t^{2}-2t-5}{12}\frac{1}{2}\delta(p_{0})\langle\bar{q}q\rangle^{2}, \\ \mathrm{Im}B^{\mathrm{OPE}}(p_{0}) &= -\frac{7t^{2}-2t-5}{32\pi^{2}}p_{0}^{2}\theta(p_{0})\langle\bar{q}q\rangle + \frac{3(t^{2}-1)}{32\pi^{2}}\theta(p_{0})\langle\bar{q}g\sigma\cdot Gq\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Correlation function of composite operators

(2) Phenomenological side

in terms of the spectral function at $s = p^2 = m^2$

Correlation function

$$\begin{split} \Pi(p^2) &= i \int d^4 x e^{ip \cdot x} \left\{ \theta(x^0) \sum_{m(\vec{q})} \langle 0|J(x)|m(\vec{q})\rangle \langle m(\vec{q})|\bar{J}(0)|0\rangle \\ &\quad + \theta(-x^0) \sum_{m(\vec{q})} \langle 0|\bar{J}(0)|\bar{m}(\vec{q})\rangle \langle \bar{m}(\vec{q})|J(x)|0\rangle \right\} \\ &\quad = i \int d^4 x e^{ip \cdot x} \sum_m \int \frac{d^3 \vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \theta(x^0) \langle 0|J(x)|m(\vec{q})\rangle \langle m(\vec{q})|\bar{J}(0)|0\rangle \\ &\quad + \theta(-x^0) \langle 0|\bar{J}(0)|\bar{m}(\vec{q})\rangle \langle \bar{m}(\vec{q})|J(x)|0\rangle \right\} \\ &= \sum_m \int \frac{d^3 \vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3} i \int d^4 x e^{ip \cdot x} \left\{ \theta(x^0) e^{-iq \cdot x} \langle 0|J(0)|m\rangle \langle m|\bar{J}(0)|0\rangle \\ &\quad + \theta(-x^0) e^{iq \cdot x} \langle 0|\bar{J}(0)|\bar{m}\rangle \langle \bar{m}|J(0)|0\rangle \right\} \\ &= \sum_m |\langle 0|J(0)|m\rangle|^2 \frac{-2E_m}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \qquad E_m \equiv \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} \end{split}$$

$$\rho(p^2) \equiv \mathrm{Im}\Pi(p^2) = \sum_m 2E_m |\langle 0|J(0)|m\rangle|^2 \pi \delta(p^2 - m^2)$$

Dispersion relation

Subtraction

$$\Pi(p^2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho(s)}{s - p^2} ds \qquad \qquad \frac{1}{s - p^2} = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{p^2}{s(s - p^2)}$$
$$\Pi(p^2) = \frac{p^2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho(s)}{s(s - p^2)} ds + \Pi(0)$$

$$\Pi(p^2) = \frac{p^{2n}}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho(s)}{s^{2n}(s-p^2)} ds + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k p^{2k}$$

(3) Analyticity of the correlator dispersion relation

$$\Pi(p^2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty ds \frac{\mathrm{Im}\Pi(s)}{s - p^2}$$

QCD duality threshold s₀

$$\operatorname{Im}\Pi^{\operatorname{OPE}}(s) = \operatorname{Im}\Pi^{\operatorname{PH}}(s) \text{ for } s > s_0$$

$$\int_{0}^{s_{0}} \frac{\mathrm{Im}\Pi^{\mathrm{OPE}}(s)}{s - p^{2}} ds = \int_{0}^{s_{0}} \frac{\mathrm{Im}\Pi^{\mathrm{PH}}(s)}{s - p^{2}} ds$$

(4) To improve: Borel transformation *M*²

$$\Pi(p^2 = -p_E^2) \to \mathcal{B}_{M^2} \Pi \equiv \tilde{\Pi}(M^2) = \lim_{p_E^2, n \to \infty, M^2 \equiv p_E^2/n = \text{finite}} \frac{(p_E^2)^{n+1}}{n!} \left(-\frac{d}{dp_E^2}\right)^n \Pi(p_E^2)$$

Borel sum rule for the imaginary part of Π ($s = p_E^2$)

$$\mathcal{B}_{M^2} \int_0^{s_0} \frac{\mathrm{Im}\Pi(s)}{s + p_E^2} ds = \int_0^{s_0} e^{-s/M^2} \mathrm{Im}\Pi(s) ds$$
$$\int_0^{s_0} e^{-s/M^2} \mathrm{Im}\Pi^{\mathrm{OPE}}(s) ds = \int_0^{s_0} e^{-s/M^2} \mathrm{Im}\Pi^{\mathrm{PH}}(s) ds$$

Direct Approaches from QCD

Borel transform

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\Pi}(M^2) &= \lim_{\substack{-p^2, n \to \infty \\ M^2 &= -p^2/n}} \frac{(-p^2)^{n+1}}{n!} \left(-\frac{d}{dp^2} \right)^n \Pi(p^2) \\ &\frac{1}{(-p^2)^n} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{(n-1)! (M^2)^{n-1}} \\ &\frac{1}{(-p^2 + m^2)^n} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{(n-1)! (M^2)^{n-1}} e^{-m^2/M^2} \\ &\int ds \frac{\rho(s)}{-p^2 + s} \longrightarrow \int ds \, e^{-s/M^2} \rho(s) \end{split}$$

L.J. Reinders et al., Hadron properties from QCD sum rules

Sum rule for the ρ meson

Fig. 11. The ρ meson mass with and without power corrections. The continuum threshold $s_0 = 1.5 \text{ GeV}^2$. Also shown are the functions f_{cont} and $f_{th corr}$ defined in the text. The region between the arrows A and B is considered to be reliable for determining the resonance parameters. Figure adopted from [1].

Direct Approaches from QCD

55

• Parity projection for a J = 1/2 Baryon

$$J(t, \vec{x}) \rightarrow +\gamma^0 J(t, -\vec{x})$$

$$\gamma^5 J(t, \vec{x}) \rightarrow +\gamma^5 \gamma^0 J(t, -\vec{x}) = -\gamma^0 \gamma^5 J(t, -\vec{x})$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \langle 0|J(x)|B^+(\vec{p})\rangle &=& \lambda_+ \, u_+(\vec{p})e^{-ip\cdot x} \\ \langle 0|J(x)|B^-(\vec{p})\rangle &=& \lambda_- \, \gamma^5 u_-(\vec{p})e^{-ip\cdot x} \end{array}$$

$$\Pi_{T}(q) = \int d^{4}x \, e^{iq \cdot x} i \langle 0 | T(J(x)\bar{J}(0)) | 0 \rangle$$

$$= -\int dm_{+} \, \frac{\rho^{+}(m_{+})}{\not{q} - m_{+}} + \int dm_{-} \, \gamma^{5} \frac{\rho^{-}(m_{-})}{\not{q} - m_{-}} \gamma^{5}$$

$$= -\int dm_{+} \, \frac{\rho^{+}(m_{+})}{\not{q} - m_{+}} - \int dm_{-} \, \frac{\rho^{-}(m_{-})}{\not{q} + m_{-}}$$

• Time ordered correlation function

D. Jido, N. Kodama, M.Oka, PR D54 (1996)

$$\Pi(p) = i \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} \theta(x_0) \langle 0 | J_B(x) \bar{J}_B(0) | 0 \rangle$$

Rest frame: $p = (p_0, 0)$

$$Im \Pi(p_0) = (\lambda_+)^2 \frac{\gamma_0 + 1}{2} \delta(p_0 - m_+) + (\lambda_-)^2 \frac{\gamma_0 - 1}{2} \delta(p_0 - m_-) + \cdots \text{ (continuum)}$$

= $\gamma_0 A(p_0) + B(p_0).$

A: chiral even termsB: chiral odd terms

 $B^+ - B^-$ mass difference is induced by nonzero $B(p^0)$

Spectral function $A(s^{1/2}) + B(s^{1/2})$ $A(s^{1/2}) - B(s^{1/2})$ B^+ $B^ B^ B^ S(p^0)$

Borel Sum Rules for m₊ and m₋

$$\int_{0}^{s_{+}} [A^{\text{OPE}}(p_{0}) + B^{\text{OPE}}(p_{0})] \exp\left[-\frac{p_{0}^{2}}{M^{2}}\right] dp_{0} = (\lambda_{+})^{2} \exp\left[-\frac{m_{+}^{2}}{M^{2}}\right],$$
$$\int_{0}^{s_{-}} [A^{\text{OPE}}(p_{0}) - B^{\text{OPE}}(p_{0})] \exp\left[-\frac{p_{0}^{2}}{M^{2}}\right] dp_{0} = (\lambda_{-})^{2} \exp\left[-\frac{m_{-}^{2}}{M^{2}}\right],$$

Baryon Masses from QCD Sum Rules

D. Jido, M. Oka, A. Hosaka, NP A629 (1998)

Bayesian Inference of Spectral Function in the QCD Sum Rules

P. Gubler, M.O., Prog. Theor. Phys., 124 (2010) 995, arXiv: 1005.2459v1
P. Gubler, K. Morita and M.O., Phys. Rev. Lett., in print, arXiv:1104.4436 [hep-ph].
K. Ohtani, P. Gubler, M.O., To be published, arXiv:1104.5577 [hep-ph]
K. Suzuki, P. Gubler, K. Morita and M.O., inpreparation.

Bayesian Inference of Spectral Function in the QCD Sum Rules

One of the first and most successful QCD sum rule so far is for the ρ meson mass, where the "pole + continuum" ansatz works well. $\rho(s) = \lambda \delta(s - m^2) + \theta(s - s_0)\rho(s)$

Bayesian Inference of Spectral Function in the QCD Sum Rules

- We use the Bayesian inference theory to obtain the most probable spectral function that satisfies the sum rule.
- The same method, Maximum Entropy Method (MEM) was formulated to obtain the spectral function from the lattice QCD data.

Asakawa, Hatsuda, Nakahara, Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys.46 (2001) 459-508.

Bayesian Inference of Spectral Function in the QCD Sum Rules

The Borel sum rule is reduced to a mathematical problem to invert the integral relation:

$$\begin{split} G(M) &= \int_0^\infty d\omega \, K(M,\omega) \rho(\omega) & \rho(\omega) \geq 0 \\ K(M,\omega) &= \frac{2\omega}{M^2} \, e^{-\omega^2/M^2} & \text{insensitive to } \omega \text{=} 0 \end{split}$$

G(M) is given by the QCD OPE, and $\rho(\omega)$ is estimated.

A similar problem in the lattice QCD: by Asakawa, Hatsuda, Nakahara

$$G(\tau) = \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle 0 | O(\vec{x}, \tau) O^{\dagger}(0, 0) | 0 \rangle = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega K(\tau, \omega) \rho(\omega)$$
$$K(\tau, \omega) = e^{-\omega\tau}$$

Maximum Entropy Method

Bayes' Theorem

$$P[\rho, G|I] = P[\rho|G, I]P[G|I] = P[G|\rho, I]P[\rho|I]$$
$$\longrightarrow P[\rho|G, I] = P[G|\rho, I]P[\rho|I]/P[G|I]$$

P[A|B] denotes the conditional probability of A given B. $\rho=\rho(\omega)$ is the spectral function to be estimated, G=G(M) is the OPE result, and I is the other general condition for the spectral function, in particular its positivity. $P[G|\rho, I]$ is the <u>likelihood function</u> and $P[\rho|I]$ is called <u>prior probability</u>.

To obtain the most probable spectral function, we find the maximum of $P[\rho|G, I]$.

Maximum Entropy Method

Likelihood function

We assume the Gaussian distribution, similarly to the χ^2 fitting.

$$P[G|\rho, I] = Z_L^{-1} e^{-L[\rho]}$$

$$L[\rho] = \frac{1}{2(M_{\text{max}} - M_{\text{min}})} \int_{M_{\text{min}}}^{M_{\text{max}}} dM \frac{[G(M) - G_{\text{OPE}}(M)]^2}{\sigma^2(M)}$$

$$P[\rho|I] = Z_s^{-1} e^{\alpha S[\rho]}$$

$$G(M) = \int_0^{\infty} d\omega K(M, \omega) \rho(\omega)$$

$$P[\rho|I] = \int_0^{\infty} d\omega \Big[\rho(\omega) - m(\omega) - \rho(\omega) \log\Big(\frac{\rho(\omega)}{m(\omega)}\Big)\Big]$$

$$Shannon-Jaynes entropy$$

$$m(\omega): default model, which maximize the entropy.$$

Direct Approaches from QCD

P. Gubler, M.O., ArXiv: 1005.2459v1

Application to charmonium at finite temperature

- Prediction of "J/ψ Suppression by Quark-Gluon Plasma Formation"

T. Matsui and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. B 178, 416 (1986).

- During the last 10 years, a picture has emerged from studies using lattice QCD (and MEM), where J/ψ survives above T_C.

M. Asakawa and T. Hatsuda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 012001 (2004).

- S. Datta et al, Phys. Rev. D69, 094507 (2004).
- T. Umeda *et al*, Eur. Phys. J. C39S1, 9 (2005).

. . .

A. Jakovác et al, Phys. Rev. D75, 014506 (2007).

taken from H. Satz, Nucl.Part.Phys. **32**, 25 (2006).

The charmonium sum rules at T=0

The sum rule:

Developed and analyzed in:

- M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein and V.I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B147, 385 (1979); B147, 448 (1979).
- L.J. Reinders, H.R. Rubinstein and S. Yazaki, Nucl. Phys. B 186, 109 (1981).
- R.A. Bertlmann, Nucl. Phys. B 204, 387 (1982).
- J. Marrow, J. Parker and G. Shaw, Z. Phys. C 37, 103 (1987).

MEM Analysis at T=0

Here, the following values were used:

$$\langle \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 \rangle = 0.012 \pm 0.0036 \text{ GeV}^4$$

 $m_c = 1.277 \pm 0.026 \text{ GeV}$

Experiment: m_{J/ψ}= 3.10 GeV m_{ηc}= 2.98 GeV

The charmonium sum rules at finite T

The application of QCD sum rules has been developed in:

T.Hatsuda, Y.Koike and S.H. Lee, Nucl. Phys. B 394, 221 (1993).

$$M(\nu) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\nu t} \rho(4m_c^2 t) dt \qquad (\nu \equiv \frac{M^2}{4m_c^2})$$

$$M(\nu) = A(\nu) \Big[1 + a(\nu)\alpha_s(\nu) \cdot \\ + b(\nu) \frac{\langle \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 \rangle_T}{m_c^4} + c_n(\nu) \frac{\langle \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 \rangle_{T,2}}{m_c^4} + d(\nu) \frac{\langle g^3 G^3 \rangle_T}{m_c^6} \Big]$$
depend on T
$$\left(\frac{\langle \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 \rangle_T}{\langle \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 \rangle_{T,2}} = -\frac{\alpha_s(T)}{\pi} (\epsilon + p) \right)$$

negative shift of ~40 MeV, consistent with the analysis of Morita and Lee.

Both J/ ψ and η_c have melted completely.

Conclusions

- We have shown that MEM can be applied to QCD sum rules
- The "pole + continuum" ansatz is not a necessity
- We could observe the melting of the S-wave charmonia using finite temperature QCD sum rules and MEM
- Both η_c and J/ψ seem to melt between T ~ 1.0 T_C and T ~ 1.1 T_C, which is below the values obtained in lattice QCD